



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/073,458	02/11/2002	Chang-Hoi Koo	678-810 (P10178)	4395
28249 75	590 04/05/2006	EXAMINER		NER
DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP		PATEL, ASHOKKUMAR B		
UNIONDALE,	VINGTON BLVD. NY 11553		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2154	<del></del>

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/073,458	KOO ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Ashok B. Patel	2154				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence	address			
WHIC - Exten after S - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing different term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMI 36(a). In no event, however, will apply and will expire SIX e, cause the application to be	MUNICATION.  may a reply be timely filed  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	is communication.			
Status		•					
1\\\\\\\\\\\	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 J</u>	anuany 2006	. •				
		s action is non-final.	· .				
<u> </u>	•						
·	) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	on of Claims		•				
_							
•	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	)∐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. )⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	•				
	Claim(s) is/arc objected to: Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requireme	nt				
ŕ	•	n cicollori requireme	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Application	on Papers						
9) 🔲 7	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) 🗌 🧻	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) $\square$ object	ed to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in a	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a	).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc	tion is required if the di	rawing(s) is objected to. See 37	' CFR 1.121(d).			
· 11) 🔲 🧵	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the at	tached Office Action or form	PTO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have	been received in this Nation	nal Stage			
	application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	).				
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copie	es not received.				
	•		•				
Attachment	(e)						
·	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)   Inte	erview Summary (PTO-413)				
<del></del>	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Par	per No(s)/Mail Date				
•	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	· —	ice of Informal Patent Application (er:	PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2154

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-17 are presented for examination.

## Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 7 and 12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 1, 3-7, and 9-11are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Belaiche (US 6,501,748 B1) in view of Tong et al. (hereinafter Tong) (US 2004/0146029 A1)

Art Unit: 2154

As per claim 1, Belaiche teaches a method of transmitting information having at least first data and second data in a mobile communication system (col. 1, lines 9-25, and lines 64-67, data with disparate QOS), comprising the steps of: separating the information into the first data and the second data in the form of a first data stream with a first predetermined length and a second data stream with a second predetermined length, respectively (col. 2, lines 40-45)., generating first and second code symbol sequences by encoding the first and second data streams at a predetermined code rate (col. 3, lines 30-40)., repeating one of the first and second code symbol sequences with a higher priority level and puncturing the other code symbol sequence with a lower priority level (col. 4, lines 55-60), the number of repeated code symbols being equal to the number of punctured code symbols (col. 3, lines 49-53), and serially concatenating the repeated code symbol sequence and the punctured code symbol sequence (col. 5, lines 49-52).

Belaiche fails to teach the number of repeated code symbols being equal to the number of punctured code symbols (col. 3, lines 49-53)

Tong teaches in Fig. 5, puncturing and repetition performed and in a manner described in para [0062], "[0062] The rate matching function, which follows the channel interleavers 93, is shown within a dashed line box 94. A puncturing function 95 is applied only to the channel-interleaved parity bit streams, whereas a repetition function 96 can be provided to the parity and systematic bit streams, a selector 97 being illustrated to couple the channel-interleaved bits accordingly."

Art Unit: 2154

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Tong and Belaiche in applying selectively puncturing and repetitions in equal numbers to the bits of data in accordance with their QoS because it allows allocating the lower priority level code symbols to higher priority code symbols without changing the transmission data rate.

As per claim 3, Belaiche teaches the method of claim 1, wherein if the information is inter-media data, the data streams are separated by priority level when separating the information (col. 2, lines 47-49).

As per claim 4, Belaiche teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the code symbol sequences are generated in data blocks of lengths determined according to a characteristic of each code symbol sequence and an available data rate on a radio channel (col. 2, lines 13-15\*, col. 3, lines 25-40).

As per claim 5, Belaiche teaches the method of claim 4, wherein if the data blocks are less than a data block size available at the data rate, redundancy is added to the data blocks (col. 5, Table 1, puncturing or repeating done to obtain the required block size).

**As per claim 6,** Belaiche teaches wherein the redundancy-added data blocks are repeated or punctured including redundancy. (col. 5, Table 1, puncturing or repeating done to obtain the required block size).

As per claim 7, Belaiche teaches a method of simultaneously transmitting data having the same or different priority levels to a mobile station in a mobile communication system, comprising the steps of: classifying transmission data streams by priority level

(col. 2, lines 41-49) and separating each transmission data stream into data streams of predetermined lengths according to characteristics of the data streams; segmenting the separated data streams according to a data rate (col. 2, lines 40-45)\*, encoding the segmented data at a predetermined code rate (col. 3, lines 30-40), repeating code symbol sequences with higher priority levels, and puncturing code symbol sequences with lower priority levels (col. 4. lines 55-60), the number of repeated code symbols being equal to the number of punctured code symbols (col. 3, lines 49-53)., and serially concatenating the repeated and punctured code symbol sequences (col. 5,. lines 49-52).

As per claim 9, Belaiche discloses the method of claim 7, wherein the code symbol sequences are distinguishably generated in data blocks of the size determined according to a characteristic of each stream and an available data rate transmittable on a radio channel (col. 2, lines 13-15,\* col. 3, lines 25-40).

As per claim 10, Belaiche discloses the method of claim 7, wherein if the data blocks are shorter than lengths provided by the data rate, redundancy is added to the data blocks (col. 5, Table 1, puncturing or repeating done to obtain the required block size).

As per claim 11, Belaiche teaches wherein the redundancy-added data blocks are repeated or punctured including redundancy. (col. 5, Table 1, puncturing or repeating done to obtain the required block size).

5. Claims 2 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Belaiche (US 6,501,748 B1) as applied to claims 1 and 7 above, in view of Andersen et al. (US 5,674,003, "Andersen").

Art Unit: 2154

As per claims 2 and 8, Belaiche does not teach wherein the separating step further comprises the steps of: determining whether the information is intra-media data; and separating the information into at least two data streams by priority level if the information is intra-media data.

Andersen teaches designating data packets of intra-media data to indicate the required QOS of diverse data including a priority level (col. 9, lines 5-45., col. 15, lines 54-65, different priority streams, assigned different sockets).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Andersen and Belaiche to detect intramedia data and to separate the data by priority because they both deal with providing different quality of service levels to transmitted data. Furthermore, the teaching of Andersen to separate intra-media data by priority allows preserving bandwidth in limited bandwidth situations for the highest priority data thus providing an efficient allocation of resources (See Andersen col. 3', lines 26-35).

6. Claims 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 1Q3(a) as being unpatentable over Belaiche (US 6,501,748 B1) in view of Tong et al. (hereinafter Tong) (US 2004/0146029 A1) and further in view of Davis et al. (US 6,781,971 B1, "Davis").

As per claim 12, Belaiche teaches an apparatus for simultaneously transmitting data with the same or different priority levels in a mobile communication system, comprising: separating data into streams based on priority (col. 2, lines 41-49) and separating each

Art Unit: 2154

transmission data stream into data streams of predetermined lengths according to characteristics and a data rate of the data streams (col. 2, lines 40-45)., a multiplexer (MUX) for segmenting the separated data streams according to the data rate; a plurality of multiple quality control (MQC) channels (col. 2, lines 20-25) for encoding the segmented data at a predetermined code rate (col. 3, lines 30-40), repeating code symbol sequences with higher priority levels, and puncturing code symbol sequences with lower priority levels (col. 4, lines 55-60), and a serial concatenator for serially concatenating the repeated and punctured code symbol sequences (col. 5, lines 49-52). Belaiche fails to teach the number of repeated code symbols being equal to the number of punctured code symbols (col. 3, lines 49-53).,

Tong teaches in Fig. 5, puncturing and repetition performed and in a manner described in para. [0062], "[0062] The rate matching function, which follows the channel interleavers 93, is shown within a dashed line box 94. A puncturing function 95 is applied only to the channel-interleaved parity bit streams, whereas a repetition function 96 can be provided to the parity and systematic bit streams, a selector 97 being illustrated to couple the channel-interleaved bits accordingly."

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Tong into Belaiche in applying selectively puncturing and repetitions in equal numbers to the bits of data in accordance with their QoS because it allows allocating the lower priority level code symbols to higher priority code symbols without changing the transmission data rate.

**Art Unit: 2154** 

Belaiche does not explicitly teach a radio link protocol portion (RLP) for classifying streams by priority.

Both Belaiche and Tong fail to teach a radio link protocol portion for classifying data into streams by priority

Davis teaches a radio link protocol portion for classifying data into streams by priority (col. 11, line 35 - col. 12, line 5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this ad at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Davis into Belaiche and Tong because they all deal with prioritizing diverse data streams communicated over a mobile network. Furthermore, the teaching of Davis to modify the system of Belaiche to classify the data in an RLP would reduce the degradation of traffic that cannot tolerate delay by designating such traffic as high priority in order to provide additional transmission resources for such data (See Davis, col. 4, line 60 - col. 5, line 14).

As per claim 13, Belaiche teaches the apparatus of claim 12, wherein each of the MQC channels comprises: a channel encoder 110 (Fig. 1) for encoding the segmented data at the predetermined code rate; and a quality matcher 112 (Fig. 2) for repeating the code symbol sequences with the higher priority levels and puncturing the code symbol sequences with the lower priority levels (col. 4, lines 55-60).

As per claim 14, Belaiche teaches the apparatus of claim 13, wherein the code symbol sequences are generated in data blocks of lengths determined according to a characteristic of each code symbol sequence and an available data rate on a radio channel (col. 2, lines 13-15-, col. 3, lines 25-40).

Application/Control Number: 10/073,458 Page 9

Art Unit: 2154

As per claim 15, Belaiche teaches the apparatus of claim 14, further comprising a redundancy selector in each MQC channel, for adding redundancy to the data blocks if the data blocks are shorter than lengths provided by the data rate (col. 5, Table 1, puncturing or repeating done to obtain the required block size).

As per claim 16, Belaiche teaches channel encoders (col. 3, lines 25-26) but does not explicitly teach that the channel encoders are turbo encoders.

Tong teaches channel encoders are turbo encoders. (para.[0059]).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this ad at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Tong into Belaiche such that as Tong stated in para [0059], "The parity bits P1 and P2 are typically punctured within the turbo coder to provide a desired rate turbo coder. For encoders 22 constituted by turbo coders, it is necessary to ensure that the subsequent rate matching function 26 does not puncture any of the systematic bits S, but only the parity bits P1 and/or P2. In the case of repetition, it has been determined that repetition of the parity bits P1 and P2 by a factor of the order of 2 or 3 times the repetition of the systematic bits S provides a performance gain."

As per claim 17, Belaiche teaches the apparatus of claim 12, further comprising a data rate control unit for determining the data rate based on the data rate information received from a mobile station and then providing the determined data rate with the radio link protocol. (col. 1, line 47-63).

#### **Conclusion**

Examiner's note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the

Art Unit: 2154

references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ashok B. Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-3972. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John A. Follansbee can be reached on (571) 272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 11

Abp

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100